uper Savings

JOE **JACK** Contri- Year-end Contri- Year-end bution Value Age bution 19 \$2,000 \$ 2,200 -0 20 2,000 21 2,000 7.282 22 2.000 10,210 23 2,000 13,431 24 2.000 16.974 --0 25 2.000 20.872 26 2.000 25,159 0-27 --0--27,675 \$2,000 \$ 2,200 28 -0-30,442 2,000 29 -0-33,487 2.000 7 282 30 -0-36,835 2.000 10,210 31 -0-40,519 2,000 13,431 32 2.000 .ი._ 44.571 16.974 33 -0--49.028 2.000 20,872 34 -n-53.930 2 000 25,159 35 59,323 2,000 35 65,256 2.000 35.052 37 71,781 2.000 40.769 38 78 960 2.000 47.045 39 86.856 2.000 53.950 95.541 2,000 61,545 105,095 2,000 69.899 42 115,605 2.000 79 089 43 127,165 2,000 89,198 44 139.882 2,000 100,318 45 153,870 2.000 46 126,005 169,257 2.000 47 186,183 2,000 140.805 48 204.801 2,000 157.086 49 2,000 225,281 50 247,809 2,000 194,694 272,590 2.000 216,364 52 53 54 299,849 2.000 240,200 329.834 2.000 265,420 362,817 2.000 55 399,099 2.000 326.988 56 439 nn9 2.000 351 887 57 482,910 2,000 400,276 2.000 59 584,321 2.000 480,953 61 707,028 2,000 62 777 731 2 000 658.079 63 855 504 2,000 726.087 941.054 2.000 800,896 .035,160 883,185 (\$78,000 Total Invested (\$16,000)Source, Thomas R. Dillon, CFF

A Surprising Saga Of Two 19-Year-Olds

WHAT'S the key element in retirement planning? One answer: Sooner rather than later can make all the difference. Here's a surprising "case history" of two hypothetical savers who are the same age.

This example comes from Thomas R. Dillon, a certified financial planner with Bruno, Stoize & Co. Inc. on North New Ballas Road. It graphically shows the benefit of early savings, allowing the power of compounding to take full effect.

One man, Joe, at age 19, starts investing \$2,000 each year at a total return of, let's say, 10 per cent a year compounded annually. After eight years, he stops saving and investing, and merely lets his \$16,000 continue to grow, earning the 10 percent compounded a year until retirement.

Jack, on the other hand, waits eight years—at age 27—to start his retirement savings program—the same year Joe stops. For the next 39 years, Jack then contributes \$2,000 a year, compounded annually at the same 10 percent total return.

When the two are 65 years of age, who has more money for retirement? Is it Joe, who put in only \$16,000, or Jack, who laboriously stashed away \$78,000 of his earnings?

The chart above tells the story. Jack put aside almost five times as much money as Joe did, but ended up with about \$152,000 less for his retirement years.

Joe's \$16,000 made him a millionaire.

Dilion also points out that if Joe had continued his \$2,000 annual contribution each year, his nest egg would have grown to \$1,918,345—an investment of \$94,000 becoming almost \$2 million.